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## Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to assess the existing conditions of the Center for Science \& Medicine and to understand the procedures used in its structural design.

The Center for Science \& Medicine is a research lab designed for the dual mission of investigation and discovery as well as treatment and healing. Located in New York City's Upper Manhattan, the building is organized and shaped by this thematic double program. On the north and south edges of the site, two linear lab bars encompass a core of support spaces. The building's east edge has been designed as an almost seamless extension of the busy street below, and rises from the public realm as an engaging 4-story Atrium. Situated within the building are 6 additional floors of wet lab research space, $11 / 2$ floors of clinical space, a clinical trial area, and space for research imaging. A 40-story residential tower will also rise on the site adjacent to the lab, but the buildings are clearly defined as two separate entities.


It is important to note that the Center for Science \& Medicine, or CSM, is only at the $50 \%$ design development phase. Thus, the existing structural design and calculated quantities are not absolute or finalized.

The following report will examine existing structural designs as well as discuss the results of self-generated calculations. All diagrams, assumptions, code references, calculations, and computer outputs are included in the Appendix of this report.

## Structural Systems

## Foundation

The foundation will consist of reinforced concrete spread footings ranging from $4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ to $8^{\prime} \times 8^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}(1 \times w \times h)$ in size, with a concrete compressive strength of $f^{\prime}=5000$ psi. Maximum footing depth will be $49^{\prime}-0$ " below grade, and all footings will bear on sound bedrock (Class 2-65 rock with bearing capacity 40TSF or Class 1-65 rock with bearing capacity 60TSF, according to New York City Building Code). Seven (7) of the total forty-three (43) footings will be designed to support columns from both the research center and the residential tower, as dictated by their location at the CSM / tower interface. Foundation loads vary from 400 to 3200 kips.

Below grade perimeter walls will consist of cast-in-place, reinforced concrete ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}=5000 \mathrm{psi}$ ) braced by the below-grade floor slabs. These walls are designed to resist lateral loads from soil and surcharge in addition to the vertical loads transferred from perimeter columns above. On the north and south perimeter walls, reinforced concrete pilasters will support perimeter columns above. A continuous grade beam ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}=5000 \mathrm{psi}$ ) will be constructed under these perimeter basement walls.

The lowest level basement floor will be an 8 " concrete slab on grade with a compressive strength of $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=4000$ psi, typically reinforced with \#5 bars@12" each way. At typical columns, additional slab reinforcement will be provided with (4) \#4 bars oriented diagonally in the horizontal plane around the column base. At diagonal frame columns (located around the building core), the slab will be reinforced with (12)\#5 bars oriented diagonally with additional longitudinal bars arranged in a grid pattern around the column base.

## Floor System

The research center's floor slabs will typically consist of 3 " metal deck with $43 / 4$ " normal-weight concrete topping, giving a total slab depth of $73 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. Thicker, normal-weight concrete slabs will be provided in spaces such as mechanical floors to meet acoustic and vibration criteria. These thickened slabs will be designed with 3 " metal deck and 8 " NWT concrete topping with reinforcement, giving a total slab depth of $11^{\prime \prime}$. Full composite action is created by 6 " long, $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diameter shear studs, and concrete compressive strength is to be $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=4000$ psi. The composite metal deck is supported by wide flange steel beams ranging from W12×14 to W36x150 in size and spaced approximately $10^{\prime}-6$ ' on center. Typical bay sizes are roughly $21^{\prime} \times 21^{\prime}$ within the building core and approximately $21^{\prime} \times 43^{\prime}$ elsewhere.

## Roof System

The flat roof system is similar to a typical floor slab, consisting of 3 " metal roof deck with $43 / 4$ " normal weight reinforced concrete topping and $6 " \times 3 / 4 "$ shear studs. Supporting this deck are wide flange steel beams ranging from W12×14 to W36x150 in size and spaced approximately $10^{\prime}-6$ " on center. It is also important to note that a portion of the roof will be a green roof, but design has not progressed enough to gather significant detail at this time.

## Lateral System

Lateral resistance to wind and seismic loads is provided by a combination of braced and moment resisting steel frames. In the North/South direction, lateral loads are resisted by a system of diagonally-braced frames around the service core area of the building's interior. The core is made up of (6) column bays spaced at approximately $20^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ and using W14 column sections. Heavy double tee bracing sections provide the lateral resistance at the core and vary from WT6x39.5 to WT6x68 in size.

In the East/West direction, lateral Ioads are taken by a dual system of perimeter beam/column moment frames and the diagonally-braced frame around the service core. Thus, it is assumed that the moment frames in this system are capable of resisting $25 \%$ of the design lateral forces. These moment frames have been designed to use W14 or W24 column sections spaced approximately $21^{\prime}-0$ " on center and W30 wide flange beams. The frames first occur on the third level and then alternate levels up through the building's roof (a total of five floors with moment frames).

## Columns

The research center's columns have been designated ASTM A992 Grade 50 steel and placed in a rectangular grid pattern. Typical gravity columns range from W14x61 to W14x311 in size. Columns acting as part of a moment frame are typically W24x117 to W36x256 in size.

## Code \& Design Requirements

## Applicable design standards

New York City Building Code
International Building Code 2003
ACI 318-99 (Reinforced Concrete Design)
AISC ASD-89 (Structural Steel)
AISC LRFD-2002, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition (Structural Steel)
ASCE 7-98
*Code substituted for thesis design: ASCE 7-05

## Deflection Criteria

Floor to Floor Deflection
Typical live load deflection L/360
Typical total deflection L/240
Typical exterior spandrel deflection $1 / 2$ "

Lateral Deflection
Wind allowable inter-story drift $\quad \mathrm{H} / 500$
Seismic allowable story drift H/400

## Vibration Criteria

Imaging rooms / laboratories 2000 Micro inches / sec
Patient rooms 4000 Micro inches / sec
Offices / seminar rooms 8000 Micro inches / sec

## Gravity Loads

Below is a table summarizing the load values of the structural designer and of IBC 2003 (which references ASCE 7-05).

| Floor / Description |  | Design Dead Load | Design Live Load | IBC Live Load | Vibration Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SC1 \& SC 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vivarium | 30 psf | 50 psf | - | $2000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| SC1 \& SC2 Interstitial |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mechanical Service | 10 psf | 50 psf | - | - |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Level 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lobbies, Corridors | 110 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
|  | Office | 30 psf | 50 psf | 50 psf | $8000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| . | Glass Wash | 10 pst | 125 psi | - | $2000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| . | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Level 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wet Lab | 25 psf | 100 psf | - | $2000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| . | Loading Dock | 75 psf | 250 psf | 250 psf | - |
| . | Auditorium | 40 psf | 60 psf | 60 psf | - |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdot$ | Wet Lab | 25 psf | 100 psf | - | $2000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Level 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | Lobbies, Corridors | 110 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| . | Office | 30 psf | 50 psf | 50 psf | $8000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Levels 5-10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | Office | 30 psf | 50 psf | 50 psf | $8000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| . | Wet Lab | 25 psf | 100 psf | - | $2000 \mu \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{s}$ |
|  | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Level 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| . | Roof Terrace | 235 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
|  | Mechanical | 80 psf | 125 psf | - | - |
| - | Stair | 5 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
| Roof |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Green Roof | 60 psf | 100 psf | 100 psf | - |
|  | Snow Load | - | 30 psf | 22 psf (see calcs) | - |
| Superimposed Loads |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Partitions | 10-20 psf | - | - | - |
| . | CMEP | 10 psf | - | - | - |
| . | Finishes / Screed | 5-15 psf | - | - | - |
| . | Roofing Membrane / Insul. | 10 psf | - | - | - |

Wind loads were calculated in accordance with ASCE 7-05, Chapter 6. I used the analytical method to examine lateral wind loads in the North/South direction as well as the East/West direction. Although a residential tower will eventually rise adjacent to the Center for Science \& Medicine on its south side, I calculated wind pressures based on the absence of this tower to account for the time CSM will be standing alone on the site. I found the fundamental frequency of the building to be less than one, indicating that the structure is flexible rather than rigid. It is categorized as Exposure B due to its urban location. The building is not quite a square, with the N/S direction ( $200^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ ) slightly longer than the E/W direction ( $172^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ ). Thus, wind controlled in the $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ direction. The Appendix contains loading diagrams and detailed hand calculations, which are summarized below.

## Wind Loads N/S

$B=172^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
L = 200' $-0^{\prime \prime}$

| Floor | hx | Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Force (kips) | Shear <br> (kips) | Moment(ft-k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N/S windward |  |  |  |  | N/S leeward |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Roof | 184 | 19.13 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 24.4 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 40.8 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 4,052.9 |
| 11 | 150 | 18.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 23.3 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 39.6 | 170.3 | 289.5 | 2,554.9 |
| 10 | 135 | 17.51 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 22.8 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 39.1 | 101.6 | 391.2 | 1,524.3 |
| 9 | 120 | 16.86 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 22.2 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -16.3 | 38.5 | 100.2 | 491.3 | 1,502.4 |
| 8 | 105 | 16.22 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 21.5 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 37.8 | 98.5 | 589.8 | 1,477.3 |
| 7 | 90 | 15.57 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 20.9 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -16.3 | 37.2 | 96.8 | 686.6 | 1,452.2 |
| 6 | 75 | 14.76 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 20.1 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 36.4 | 94.9 | 781.5 | 1,423.9 |
| 5 | 60 | 13.78 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | 19.1 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -16.3 | 35.4 | 92.6 | 874.2 | 1,389.4 |
| 4 | 45 | 12.73 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 18.0 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 34.4 | 90.0 | 964.2 | 1,350.2 |
| 3 | 30 | 11.35 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 16.7 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -16.3 | 33.0 | 86.9 | 1051.0 | 1,303.1 |
| 2 | 15 | 9.24 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 14.6 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 30.9 | 82.4 | 1133.4 | 1,235.7 |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.8 | 1173.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base Shear $=$ | 1,173.3 | $\mathrm{M}=$ | 19,266.2 |

## Wind Load E/W

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=200^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \\
& L=172^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Floor | hx | Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Force (kips) | Shear <br> (kips) | Moment (t-k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | E/W windward |  |  |  | EM leeward |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Roof | 184 | 12.76 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 18.1 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 30.7 | 104.5 | 104.5 | 3,551.4 |
| 11 | 150 | 12.00 | $\pm 5.32$ | = | 17.3 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -12.6 | 30.0 | 149.4 | 253.9 | 2,241.0 |
| 10 | 135 | 11.68 | $\pm 5.32$ | = | 17.0 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 29.6 | 89.4 | 343.3 | 1,341.1 |
| 9 | 120 | 11.24 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 16.6 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 29.2 | 88.3 | 431.5 | 1,324.1 |
| 8 | 105 | 10.81 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 16.1 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 28.8 | 87.0 | 518.5 | 1,304.6 |
| 7 | 90 | 10.38 | $\pm 5.32$ | = | 15.7 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -12.6 | 28.3 | 85.7 | 604.2 | 1,285.2 |
| 6 | 75 | 9.84 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 15.2 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 27.8 | 84.2 | 688.4 | 1,263.3 |
| 5 | 60 | 9.19 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 14.5 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -12.6 | 27.2 | 82.4 | 770.8 | 1,236.5 |
| 4 | 45 | 8.49 | $\pm 5.32$ | = | 13.8 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 26.5 | 80.4 | 851.2 | 1,206.1 |
| 3 | 30 | 7.57 | $\pm 5.32$ | = | 12.9 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | = | -12.6 | 25.5 | 78.0 | 929.2 | 1,169.6 |
| 2 | 15 | 6.16 | $\pm 5.32$ | $=$ | 11.5 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 24.1 | 74.5 | 1003.7 | 1,117.3 |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.2 | 1039.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base S | ear $=$ | 1,039.9 | $\mathrm{M}=$ | 17,040.2 |

Results:
Base Shear $(N / S)=1,173.3 k \quad$ (controls)
Base Shear $(E / W)=1,039.9 k$

Overturning Moment $(N / S)=19,266.2^{\prime} k$ (controls)
Overturning Moment $(E / W)=17,040.2^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$

## Seismic Loads

Seismic loads were calculated in accordance with ASCE 7-05, Chapter 12. After careful study of the geotechnical report, I was able to conclude that the building subterranean site is primarily rock and falls under Site Class B. All other factors and accelerations were obtained from ASCE 7-05 figures, tables, and equations. To determine the effective weight of the building, I first calculated the weight of each of the building's twelve floors above grade. This included the exact weights of all slabs and columns, an approximation for beams / connections / bracing elements obtained from the construction documents, and the superimposed dead loads listed in the table on page (7). Summing the weights of each floor generated the building's effective weight, and in turn, seismic base shear. More extensive calculations and diagrams are shown in the Appendix.

## Vertical Distribution of Seismic Forces

| Floor | $w_{x}(k)$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{tt})$ | $\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $w_{x} h_{x}^{k}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {w }}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{k})$ | Moment at Floor (tt-k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4,018.5 | 15.0 | 74.1 | 297,886 | 0.005 | 9.2 | 137.5 |
| 3 | 3,214.5 | 30.0 | 223.2 | 717,353 | 0.011 | 22.1 | 662.2 |
| 4 | 2,983.0 | 45.0 | 425.2 | 1,268,417 | 0.020 | 39.0 | 1,756.4 |
| 5 | 3,461.6 | 60.0 | 671.8 | 2,325,622 | 0.037 | 71.6 | 4,293.9 |
| 6 | 3,457.2 | 75.0 | 958.0 | 3,311,892 | 0.052 | 101.9 | 7,643.5 |
| 7 | 3,453.9 | 90.0 | 1,280.1 | 4,421,378 | 0.070 | 136.1 | 12,244.9 |
| 8 | 3,450.7 | 105.0 | 1,635.7 | 5,644,135 | 0.089 | 173.7 | 18,236.6 |
| 9 | 3,427.6 | 120.0 | 2,022.5 | 6,932,432 | 0.109 | 213.3 | 25,599.0 |
| 10 | 3,423.5 | 135.0 | 2,439.1 | 8,350,167 | 0.131 | 257.0 | 34,688.5 |
| 11 | 5,154.2 | 150.0 | 2,883.9 | 14,864,371 | 0.234 | 457.4 | 68,611.1 |
| Roof | 3861.7611 | 184.0 | 3,990.8 | 15,411,530 | 0.243 | 474.2 | 87,261.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sum w_{i}{ }_{i}^{k}=$ | 63,545,182 | $\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}=$ | 1,955.4 | $\Sigma \mathrm{M}=$ | 261,134.7 |

Results:
Effective Seismic Weight $=39,906.4 \mathrm{k}$
Calculated Base Shear $=1,955.4 \mathrm{k}$
Thus, it is determined that seismic controls over wind.

## Braced Frame Analysis

As previously discussed, the building's lateral system consists of diagonally braced frames in the North/South direction and a dual system in the East/West direction. I chose to analyze the North/South system of braced frames for simplicity. To carry out such an analysis, I built a model of the two N/S braced frames in RAM and applied a 1 kip load to every floor above ground level. After running the analysis, I used the calculated deflections to find the relative stiffness of each frame. Finally, these percentages were applied to previously calculated seismic story forces (which govern over wind loads) to determine how each frame will react to such lateral forces. Although this is an approximate method, I feel that it is a reasonable approach to analyzing the frames for my purposes.

A summary of lateral Ioad distribution is displayed in the Appendix. RAM output is also included, along with elevations of each braced frame and the forces calculated at each level. Upon finishing the analysis, I was able to conclude that the selected WT members are satisfactory in resisting the design seismic load.


The first spot-check performed was an evaluation of gravity columns located in one of the building's interior bays, from the lowest basement level (SC2) to the eleventh floor. Dead loads applied to each column were taken from earlier seismic calculations (weight of structural elements plus superimposed dead loads), and live loads were applied in accordance with IBC 2003 (which are equal to those specified by the original designer). It was assumed that the effective length, KL, of each column was equal to the column's floor-to-floor height. After performing the first calculation, I used the AISC LRDF Steel Manual to check all other columns (Table 4-1). Refer to calculations in the Appendix.

In general, I found that the columns seemed to be over-designed. Most of my calculations called for much smaller axial Ioad capacities than what is provided by the current design. This may be due to personal error in load calculations, or it could be attributed to the stringent vibration criteria set up for the structure. My calculations did not take vibration into effect.

The second spot-check performed was an evaluation of a typical composite beam located in one of the building's interior bays. My calculations show that the beam is capable of supporting the applied factored moment, and the number of shear studs required for full composite action is equal to the number specified in the original design.


## A) Wind Load Calculations

Reference: ASCE 7-05

Wind Load (North/South) B $=172^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{L}=200^{\prime}$

| Floor | Height (ft) | hx | Kz | qZ | Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Force (kips) | Shear <br> (kips) | Moment ( $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | N/S windward |  |  |  |  | N/S leeward |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Roof | 34 | 184 | 1.18 | 29.53 | 19.13 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 24.4 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 40.8 | 119.2 | 119.2 | 4,052.9 |
| 11 | 15 | 150 | 1.11 | 27.78 | 18.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 23.3 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 39.6 | 170.3 | 289.5 | 2,554.9 |
| 10 | 15 | 135 | 1.08 | 27.03 | 17.51 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 22.8 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 39.1 | 101.6 | 391.2 | 1,524.3 |
| 9 | 15 | 120 | 1.04 | 26.02 | 16.86 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 22.2 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 38.5 | 100.2 | 491.3 | 1,502.4 |
| 8 | 15 | 105 | 1.00 | 25.02 | 16.22 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 21.5 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 37.8 | 98.5 | 589.8 | 1,477.3 |
| 7 | 15 | 90 | 0.96 | 24.02 | 15.57 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 20.9 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 37.2 | 96.8 | 686.6 | 1,452.2 |
| 6 | 15 | 75 | 0.91 | 22.77 | 14.76 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 20.1 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 36.4 | 94.9 | 781.5 | 1,423.9 |
| 5 | 15 | 60 | 0.85 | 21.27 | 13.78 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 19.1 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 35.4 | 92.6 | 874.2 | 1,389.4 |
| 4 | 15 | 45 | 0.785 | 19.64 | 12.73 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 18.0 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 34.4 | 90.0 | 964.2 | 1,350.2 |
| 3 | 15 | 30 | 0.70 | 17.52 | 11.35 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 16.7 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 33.0 | 86.9 | 1051.0 | 1,303.1 |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 0.57 | 14.26 | 9.24 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 14.6 | -11.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -16.3 | 30.9 | 82.4 | 1133.4 | 1,235.7 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.8 | 1173.3 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base | hear $=$ | 1,173.3 | $\mathrm{M}=$ | 19,266.2 |

Wind Load (East/West) B $=200^{\prime} \mathrm{L}=172^{\prime}$

| Floor | Height (ft) | hx | Kz | qZ | Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Force (kips) | Shear <br> (kips) | Moment ( $\mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{k}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | E/W windward |  |  |  |  | E/W leeward |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Roof | 34 | 184 | 1.18 | 29.53 | 12.76 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 18.1 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 30.7 | 104.5 | 104.5 | 3,551.4 |
| 11 | 15 | 150 | 1.11 | 27.78 | 12.00 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 17.3 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 30.0 | 149.4 | 253.9 | 2,241.0 |
| 10 | 15 | 135 | 1.08 | 27.03 | 11.68 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 17.0 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 29.6 | 89.4 | 343.3 | 1,341.1 |
| 9 | 15 | 120 | 1.04 | 26.02 | 11.24 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 16.6 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 29.2 | 88.3 | 431.5 | 1,324.1 |
| 8 | 15 | 105 | 1.00 | 25.02 | 10.81 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 16.1 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 28.8 | 87.0 | 518.5 | 1,304.6 |
| 7 | 15 | 90 | 0.96 | 24.02 | 10.38 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 15.7 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 28.3 | 85.7 | 604.2 | 1,285.2 |
| 6 | 15 | 75 | 0.91 | 22.77 | 9.84 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 15.2 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 27.8 | 84.2 | 688.4 | 1,263.3 |
| 5 | 15 | 60 | 0.85 | 21.27 | 9.19 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 14.5 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 27.2 | 82.4 | 770.8 | 1,236.5 |
| 4 | 15 | 45 | 0.785 | 19.64 | 8.49 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 13.8 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 26.5 | 80.4 | 851.2 | 1,206.1 |
| 3 | 15 | 30 | 0.70 | 17.52 | 7.57 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 12.9 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 25.5 | 78.0 | 929.2 | 1,169.6 |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 0.57 | 14.26 | 6.16 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | 11.5 | -7.33 | $\pm$ | 5.32 | $=$ | -12.6 | 24.1 | 74.5 | 1003.7 | 1,117.3 |
| 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.2 | 1039.9 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Base | hear $=$ | 1,039.9 | $\mathrm{M}=$ | 17,040.2 |

External Pressure Coefficients, CP
Windward 0.8
Internal Pressure Coefficient, GCpi
Leeward -0.46

## A) Wind Load Calculations (con)

North/South Wind Pressures (psf)


East/West Wind Pressures (psf)


| Gust Factor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/S | E/W |
| L | 200.00 | 172.00 |
| B | 172.00 | 200.00 |
| n1 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
|  | Flexible |  |
| h | 184.00 | 184.00 |
| 0.6 h | 110.40 | 110.40 |
| $z_{\text {min }}$ | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| c | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Iz | 0.245 | 0.245 |
| $\ell$ | 320.00 | 320.00 |
| $z$ effective | 110.40 | 110.40 |
| Q | 0.657 | 0.648 |
| $\mathrm{g}_{0}$ | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | 3.40 | 3.40 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | 0.067 | 0.067 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 0.17 | 0.15 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | 0.047 | 0.055 |
| $\beta$ | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| R | 0.142 | 0.134 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | 0.81 | 0.54 |

Seismic Design Values, ASCE 7-05

| Occupancy | III | Table 1-1 |  | Response Modification Coefficient | $\mathrm{R}=6$ | Table 12.2-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Importance Factor | $\mathrm{I}=1.25$ | Table 11.5-1 | 흥 | Coefficient $\mathrm{C}_{u}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.7$ | Table 12.8-1 |
| Site Class | B | Table 20.3-1 | 䂭 | Fundamental Period, T | $\mathrm{T}=1.68$ | Sec. 12.8.2 |
| Spectral Response Acceleration, short | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.35$ | Figure 22-1 |  | Seismic Respose Coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.049$ | Eq. 12.8-3 |
| Spectral Response Acceleration, 1 sec | $\mathrm{S}_{1}=0.06$ | Figure 22-2 |  | Building Height (above grade) | $h=184$ |  |
| Site Coefficient, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0$ | Table 11.4-1 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| Site Coefficient, F $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}$ | $F_{v}=1.0$ | Table 11.4-2 |  |  |  |  |
| MCE Spectral Response Acceleration, short | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MS}}=0.35$ | Eq. 11.4-1 |  | Response Modification Coefficient | $\mathrm{R}=7$ | Table 12.2-1 |
| MCE Spectral Response Acceleration, 1 sec | $S_{M 1}=0.06$ | Eq. 11.4-2 | 은 믕 | Coefficient $\mathrm{C}_{u}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}=1.7$ | Table 12.8-1 |
| Design Spectral Acceleration, short | $S_{\text {DS }}=0.233$ | Eq. 11.4-3 | 言 든 | Fundamental Period, T | $\mathrm{T}=1.68$ | Sec. 12.8.2 |
| Design Spectral Acceleration, 1 sec | $S_{\text {D1 }}=0.04$ | Eq. 11.4-4 |  | Seismic Respose Coefficient | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.042$ | Eq. 12.8-3 |
| Seismic Design Category | B | Table 11.6-1 |  | Building Height (above grade) | $h=184$ |  |

Weight of each floor calculated as followed:

| Floor 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approx Area: | 28,663 ft ${ }^{2}$ |  | Floor to Floor Height: |  | 15 ft |
| Slab: |  |  |  |  |  |
| thickness $=$ | 4.75 | in |  |  |  |
| unit weight = | 150 | pcf |  |  |  |
| total weight $=$ | 1,701.9 | kips |  |  |  |
| Columns: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape | Quantity | Unit Weight $(\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{tt})$ | Column Height (ft) | Total Weight |  |
| W14x61 | , | 61 | 15 | 8.2 | kips |
| W14x68 | 1 | 68 | 15 | 1.0 | kips |
| W14x90 | 6 | 90 | 15 | 8.1 | kips |
| W14x74 | 3 | 74 | 15 | 3.3 | kips |
| W14x109 | 1 | 109 | 15 | 1.6 | kips |
| W14x120 | 4 | 120 | 15 | 7.2 | kips |
| W14x145 | 1 | 145 | 15 | 2.2 | kips |
| W14x176 | 1 | 176 | 15 | 2.6 | kips |
| W14x211 | 10 | 211 | 15 | 31.7 | kips |
| W24x117 | 9 | 117 | 15 | 15.8 | kips |
| W24x146 | 7 | 146 | 15 | 15.3 | kips |
| W36x135 | 4 | 135 | 15 | 8.1 | kips |
| W36x150 | 5 | 150 | 15 | 11.3 | kips |
| total weight $=$ | 116.5 | kips |  |  |  |
| Beams, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Connections, |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bracing, etc: |  |  |  |  |  |
| allowance = | 11.0 | psf |  |  |  |
| total weight $=$ | 315.3 | kips |  |  |  |
| Super-Imposed: |  |  |  |  |  |
| partitions = | 20 | psf |  |  |  |
| CMEP = | 10 | psf |  |  |  |
| Finishes = | 15 | psf |  |  |  |
| total weight $=$ | 1,289.8 | kips |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FLOOR | EIGHT: |  | 3,423.5 | or | 119 |
|  |  |  | kips |  | psf |



## B) Seismic Calculations (con)

Vertical Distribution of Seismic Forces

| Floor | $w_{x}(k)$ | $h_{x}(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{k}$ | $w_{x} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}}$ | Story Force <br> $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{k})$ | Story <br> Shear $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{k})$ | Moment at <br> Floor (ft-k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1,955.4$ |  |
| 2 | $4,018.5$ | 15.0 | 74.1 | 297,886 | 0.005 | 9.2 | $1,946.2$ | 137.5 |
| 3 | $3,214.5$ | 30.0 | 223.2 | 717,353 | 0.011 | 22.1 | $1,924.2$ | 662.2 |
| 4 | $2,983.0$ | 45.0 | 425.2 | $1,268,417$ | 0.020 | 39.0 | $1,885.1$ | $1,756.4$ |
| 5 | $3,461.6$ | 60.0 | 671.8 | $2,325,622$ | 0.037 | 71.6 | $1,813.6$ | $4,293.9$ |
| 6 | $3,457.2$ | 75.0 | 958.0 | $3,311,892$ | 0.052 | 101.9 | $1,711.7$ | $7,643.5$ |
| 7 | $3,453.9$ | 90.0 | $1,280.1$ | $4,421,378$ | 0.070 | 136.1 | $1,575.6$ | $12,244.9$ |
| 8 | $3,450.7$ | 105.0 | $1,635.7$ | $5,644,135$ | 0.089 | 173.7 | $1,401.9$ | $18,236.6$ |
| 9 | $3,427.6$ | 120.0 | $2,022.5$ | $6,932,432$ | 0.109 | 213.3 | $1,188.6$ | $25,599.0$ |
| 10 | $3,423.5$ | 135.0 | $2,439.1$ | $8,350,167$ | 0.131 | 257.0 | 931.7 | $34,688.5$ |
| 11 | $5,154.2$ | 150.0 | $2,883.9$ | $14,864,371$ | 0.234 | 457.4 | 474.2 | $68,611.1$ |
| Roof | 3861.7611 | 184.0 | $3,990.8$ | $15,411,530$ | 0.243 | 474.2 |  | $87,261.0$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sum w_{i} h_{i}^{k}=$ | $63,545,182$ | $\sum F_{x}=\mathrm{V}=1,955.4$ |  | $\sum \mathrm{M}=$ | $261,134.7$ |  |
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## C) Simplified Lateral Analysis:
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C) Simplified Lateral Analysis (con)

Lateral Distribution of Loads
North/South Direction
Percentage of Load Distributed to Frame, by Floor

| Frame | 1/Defl | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $11-\mathrm{M}$ | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF7 | 12.99 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 | 59.9 |
| BF9 | 8.7 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| (total) | 21.69 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Distribution of Seismic Load on BF7 and BF9
North/South Direction
Approximate Load on Each Frame Story, kips

| Frame | 1/Defl | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | $11-\mathrm{M}$ | 11 | Total Load |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BF7 | 12.99 | 5.5 | 13.2 | 23.4 | 42.9 | 61.0 | 81.5 | 104.0 | 127.8 | 153.9 | 274.0 | 284.0 | 1171.3 |
| BF9 | 8.7 | 3.7 | 8.9 | 15.6 | 28.7 | 40.9 | 54.6 | 69.7 | 85.5 | 103.1 | 183.4 | 190.2 | 784.2 |
| (total) | 21.69 | 9.2 | 22.1 | 39 | 71.6 | 101.9 | 136.1 | 173.7 | 213.3 | 257 | 457.4 | 474.2 | 1955.5 |

D) Spot Check: Gravity Column

Reference: AISC LRFD Steel Manual

| Floor | Tributary Area (ft2) | Dead Load (psf) | Live Load (psf) | Influence Area (ft2) | Reduction Factor $\geq$$0.4$ |  |  | Live Load (k) | Dead Load (k) | Load Combo | Total Load per Floor (k) | Total Accumulated Load (k) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 806 | 140 | 100 | 3,224 | 1.000 | $=$ | 1.000 | 80.6 | 112.8 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+0.5 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 175.7 | 175.7 |
| 10 | 806 | 180 | 120 | 3,224 | 0.437 | $=$ | 0.437 | 42.2 | 145.1 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 241.7 | 417.3 |
| 9 | 806 | 119 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.403 | $=$ | 0.403 | 32.4 | 95.9 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 167.0 | 584.3 |
| 8 | 806 | 120 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.382 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 96.7 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 167.6 | 751.9 |
| 7 | 806 | 120 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.368 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 96.7 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 167.6 | 919.6 |
| 6 | 806 | 121 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.358 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 168.6 | 1088.2 |
| 5 | 806 | 121 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.350 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 168.6 | 1256.7 |
| 4 | 806 | 121 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.343 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 168.6 | 1425.3 |
| 3 | 806 | 123 | $\begin{aligned} & (1 / 2) 50 \\ & (1 / 2) 100 \end{aligned}$ | 3,224 | 0.338 | $=$ | 0.400 | 24.2 | 99.1 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 157.6 | 1583.0 |
| 2 | 806 | 122 | 100 | 3,224 | 0.334 | $=$ | 0.400 | 32.2 | 98.3 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 169.6 | 1752.5 |
| 1 | 806 | 150 | $\begin{aligned} & (1 / 2) 60 \\ & (1 / 2) 100 \end{aligned}$ | 3,224 | 0.330 | $=$ | 0.400 | 25.8 | 120.9 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 186.3 | 1938.8 |
| SC1-M | 806 | 121 | 50 | 3,224 | 0.326 | $=$ | 0.400 | 16.1 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 142.8 | 2081.6 |
| SC1 | 806 | 121 | 50 | 3,224 | 0.323 | $=$ | 0.400 | 16.1 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 142.8 | 2224.4 |
| SC2-M | 806 | 121 | 50 | 3,224 | 0.321 | $=$ | 0.400 | 16.1 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 142.8 | 2367.2 |
| SC2 | 806 | 121 | 50 | 3,224 | 0.318 | $=$ | 0.400 | 16.1 | 97.5 | $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}$ | 142.8 | 2510.0 |

## D) Spot Check: Gravity Column (con)

SPOT CHECK: GRAVITY COLUMN
Check Column LC/LG.
See spreadsheet for loadings.

FLOOR 11: $w 14 \times 61, h=34^{\prime}$
$\begin{array}{lll}P_{u}=175.7^{k} & A_{g}=17.9 \mathrm{in}^{2} & I_{y}=107 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\ I_{x}=640 \mathrm{in}^{4} & I_{y}=2.45 \mathrm{in} \\ r_{x}=5.98 \mathrm{in} & r_{y}=1\end{array}$

$$
\frac{K L}{r_{x}}=\frac{34^{\prime}(12)}{5.98}=68.2 \| \frac{K L}{r_{y}}=\frac{34^{\prime}(12)}{2.45}=166.5 \longleftarrow \text { CONTROLS. }
$$

$\frac{k L}{r} \leqslant 4.71 \sqrt{E / F_{y}}$
$166.5 \leq 4.71 \sqrt{29000 / 50}=113.4$ No, $\therefore$ elastic behavior.
$F_{C R}=0.877 F_{e}=0.877\left[\frac{\pi^{2} \cdot 29000}{166.5^{2}}\right]=9.05 \mathrm{ksi}$
$P_{n}=F_{C R} A_{g}=9.05(17.9)=162^{\mathrm{k}}$
$\phi P_{n}=0.9(162)=145.9^{\mathrm{k}}<P_{u}=175.7^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{Nogood}$.
Note: Using Table 4-1 (AISC Steel Manual, $13^{\text {th }}$ Ed) gives the following Results:

$$
P_{u}=175.7^{\mathrm{k}}
$$

$$
K_{L}^{u}=34^{\prime}
$$

From Table 4-1, choose W14 $\times 74$

$$
\phi P_{n}=182^{\mathrm{k}}>P_{u}=175.7^{\mathrm{k}} / . \mathrm{kay}
$$

$\therefore W 14 \times 61$ WILL Not work; select W $14 \times 74$.
For all other floors, I will use Table 4-1 to
check column designs since it is quicker and is baird on the same methods as the calculations above.

## D) Spot Check: Gravity Column (con)

| SPOT CHECK : GRANITY COLUMN |  |  | 2/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { FLOOR } 9}{W 14 \times 120}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{u}=584.3^{\mathrm{K}} \\ & K L=15^{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\Delta P_{n}=608^{\mathrm{k}}>P_{u}=584.3^{\mathrm{k}} \Omega$ <br> For $W 14 \times 120$, $\phi P_{n}=1340^{k} \gg P_{u}=584.3 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |
|  | $\therefore$ W14 $\times 120$ derigns is satisfactory, but a smaller size could be used based on these calculations. |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { FLOOR } 8}{W 14 \times 120}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{u}=751 \cdot 9^{K} \\ & K L=15^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | From Table 4-1, choose W $14 \times 90$ |  |  |
|  | For $w 14 \times 20$,$\begin{aligned} & \phi P_{n}=1000 \mathrm{k}>P_{u}=751.9 \mathrm{k} \\ & \phi P_{n}=1340^{\mathrm{k}}>P_{u}=751.9 \mathrm{k} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\therefore W 14 \times 120$ design is satisfactory, hit a smaucR size culd be ured based on these calculations. |  |  |
| $\frac{F_{L C O R} 7}{W 14 \times 145}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{u}=919.6^{K} \\ & K L=15 ; \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | From Table 4-1, choose W $14 \times 90$ |  |  |
|  | For W14×14S,$\varnothing P_{n}=1000 \mathrm{k}>P_{u}=919.6$$\phi P_{n}=1650^{k} \geqslant P_{u}=919.6^{k}$ |  |  |
| $\frac{F L O O R 6}{W 14 \times 159}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{u}=1088.2^{\mathrm{K}} \\ & \mathrm{KL}=15^{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | From Table 4-1, choose W $14 \times 99$$\delta P_{n}=1100^{\mathrm{k}}>P_{u}=1088.2 \mathrm{k}$ |  |  |
|  | For $W 14 \times 159, \quad \phi P_{n}=1810 \gg P_{u}=1088.2 \mathrm{k} \checkmark$ |  |  |
|  | W $14 \times 159$ is satisfactory, wht a smaller size could be used bared all gravity analysis. |  |  |

## D) Spot Check: Gravity Column (con)



## D) Spot Check: Gravity Column (con)



## D) Spot Check: Gravity Column (con)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - SPOT CHECK: GRANITY COLUMN } \\
& 5 \mathrm{SC}_{2} \\
& W 14 \times 342 \quad P_{u}=2510^{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \mathrm{KL}=13^{1} \\
& \text { From Table 4-1, chowse W } 14 \times 233 \\
& \varnothing P_{n}=2770^{k}>P_{u}=2510^{k} \checkmark \\
& \text { FOR } w 14 \times 342, \Phi P_{n}=4120^{k}>P_{u}=2510^{k} \\
& \therefore W 14 \times 342 \text { design is setisfactory, hit a smaller } \\
& \text { size could be wed based on gravity anklysis. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose bram on a typical Lab floor (Level 5) Location: between grid Lines LLe-LT, and LC-LE W $21 \times 44$ composite bram (FULL COMPOSITE ACTION) $l=33^{\prime}$ spaced @ 10.5' o.c.
$A_{s}=13 \mathrm{in}^{2}$
NWT conc. slab on deck $\longrightarrow \begin{aligned} & 3^{\prime \prime} \text { deck } \\ & 43 / 4 \text { topping } \\ & f^{\prime} \mathrm{c}=4 \mathrm{ksi} \\ & 3 / 4 \text { " dia. studs }\end{aligned}$

$D L($ slab $)=150 \mathrm{pcf}(4.75 / 12)=59.4$ psf $\quad D \quad D L=85$ psf
$D L($ superimposed $)=25$ psf
$L L=100 \mathrm{psf}$
CHECK: Determine design moment and check against Mu. Determine \# shear studs.
Check deflection.

- beff $=\left\{\begin{array}{l}10.5^{\prime} \text { trib width } \\ y_{4}\left(33^{\prime}\right)=8.25^{\prime}=99^{\prime \prime}\end{array}\right.$
- Determine controlling compression force:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{c}^{\prime}=0.85 f_{c}^{\prime} \text { beff } t=0.85(4)(99)(4.75)=1599 \mathrm{k} \\
& V_{s}^{\prime}=A_{s} F_{y}=(13)(50)=650^{\mathrm{k}}=V^{\prime} 9=\sum Q_{n} \\
& \text { Since } V_{s}^{\prime}<V_{c}^{\prime} \text {, stecl controls. PNA is at of above } \\
& \text { the top of flange. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Determine depth of concrete to balance v's.

$$
a=\frac{650}{0.85(4)(99)}=1.93^{\prime \prime}
$$

- Determine moment arm of compressive force frum top of steel:

$$
y_{2}=4.75-\frac{1.93}{2}=3.8 \mathrm{in} . \rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& 3.5 \mathrm{in} \\
& \text { to be conservative }
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\varnothing M_{n} \longrightarrow$
From Table 3-19 (AISC Steel Manual)
$Y_{2}=3.5^{\prime \prime}, \sum Q_{n}=650$
$\phi M_{n}=673^{1 \mathrm{~K}}$


## E) Spot Check: Composite Beam (con)

- Determine Mu, compare to $\varnothing$ Mn.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{D L}=85 \mathrm{psf}\left(10 . S^{\prime}\right)=0.9 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ff} \\
& W_{L L}=100 \mathrm{psf}\left(10 . S^{\prime}\right)=1.05 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& W_{u}=1.2(0.9)+1.6(1.05)=2.76 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{ft} \\
& M_{u}=\frac{W L^{2}}{8}=\frac{(2.76)(33)^{2}}{8}=375.7^{1 \mathrm{~K}} \\
& \varnothing M_{n}=6.73 \mathrm{k}>M_{u}=375.7 \mathrm{k} \quad \text { Design is okay. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Determine \# shear studs required.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n}=26.1^{\mathrm{k}} \text { per stud } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (Table 3-21) } \\
\sum Q_{n}=650^{k} \\
\text { \# studs }=650^{k} / 26.1=24.9 \text { studs } \rightarrow 25 \text { studs required } \\
\text { (each side) } \\
=50 \text { total }
\end{array} \\
& \text { (26) required by desigN okay } \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

